arXiv:1406.2274v1 [math.GM] 6 Jun 2014

A new approach to bipolar soft sets and its applications

Faruk Karaaslan[a*] and Serkan Karataş[b]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Karatekin University, 18100 Çankırı, Turkey, fkaraaslan@karatekin.edu.tr

[b]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ordu University, 52200 Ordu, Turkey serkankaratas@odu.edu.tr

Abstract

Molodtsov [25] proposed the concept of soft set theory in 1999, which can be used as a mathematical tool for dealing with problems that contain uncertainty. Sabir and Naz [30] defined notion of bipolar soft set in 2013. In this paper, we redefine concept of bipolar soft set and bipolar soft set operations as more functional than former. Also we study on their basic properties and we present a decision making method with application.

Keyword 0.1 *Soft set, bipolar soft set, decision making, bipolar soft set operations*

1 Introduction

In the real life, problems in economy, engineering, environmental science and social science and many fields involve data that contain uncertainties. These problems may not be successfully modeled by existing methods in classical mathematics. There are some well known mathematical theories for dealing with uncertainties such as; fuzzy set theory [35], intuitionistic fuzzy set theory [4], rough set [27] and so on. But all of these theories have their own difficulties which are pointed out in [25]. To cope with these difficulties, Molodtsov proposed the concept of soft set as a new mathematical tool for dealing with uncertainties. Then Maji et al. [22], equality of two soft sets,

*corresponding author

subset and super set of a soft set, complement of a soft set, null soft set, and absolute soft set with examples. Moreover, they defined soft binary operations like AND, OR and the operations of union, intersection. In 2009, Ali et al.[1] gave some new notions such as the restricted intersection, the restricted union, the restricted difference and the extended intersection of two soft sets. In 2010, to make soft set operations more functional, Çağman and Enginoğlu [5] redefine the operations of Molodtsovs soft sets. Also they defined products of soft sets and uniint decision function and constructed an uni-int decision making method which selects a set of optimum elements from the alternatives. Feng et al. [13, 14, 15] combined soft sets fuzzy sets and rough sets and gave application in decision making. In 2014, Çağman [10] made contributions to the theory of soft sets to fill gaps of former definition and operations. Recently, the properties and applications on the soft set theory have been studied increasingly [2, 6, 19, 28, 20, 23, 24]. By embedding the ideas of fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets, many interesting applications of soft set theory have been expanded [7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17, 18, 21, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36]. In 2013, Sabir and Naz [30] defined bipolar soft sets and basic operations of union, intersection and complementation for bipolar soft sets. They gave examples of bipolar soft sets and an application of bipolar soft sets in a decision making problem. In 2013, Aslam et al. [3] introduced the notion of bipolar fuzzy soft set and study fundamental properties. Also, Naz and Sabir [26] studied on algebraic structure of bipolar fuzzy soft sets.

In this paper, based on Çağman [10], we redefine the notion of bipolar soft sets and bipolar soft set operations as more functional. Since it is difficult to express 'not' of an element as mathematical, to cope with this case we use to define a bijective function. Also we give decision making method for decision making problem involving the bipolar soft set and present an example related to this method.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will remind the definition of soft set which is defined by Molodtsov [25] and present contributions to soft set which is made by Çağman [10]. Moreover, we will give some properties of these topics. Throughout this paper, we will denote initial universe, set of parameters and power set of U by U, E and $P(U)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1 *[25] Let consider a nonempty set A, $A \subseteq E$. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by $F : A \to P(U)$.*

In this paper, we will use following definition which was defined by Çağman [10] and we will do our work based on this definition.

Definition 2.2 *[10] A soft set F over U is a set valued function from E to $\mathcal{P}(U)$. It can be written a set of ordered pairs*

$$F = \big\{(e, F(e)) : e \in E\big\}.$$

Note that if $F(e) = \emptyset$, then the element $(e, F(e))$ is not appeared in F. Set of all soft sets over U is denoted by $\mathbb{S}$.

Definition 2.3 *[10] Let $F, G \in \mathbb{S}$. Then,*

i. If $F(e) = \emptyset$ for all $e \in E$, F is said to be a null soft set, denoted by Φ.

ii. If $F(e) = U$ for all $e \in E$, F is said to be absolute soft set, denoted by $\hat{U}$.

iii. F is soft subset of G, denoted by $F \tilde{\subseteq} G$, if $F(e) \subseteq G(e)$ for all $e \in E$.

iv. $F = G$, if $F \tilde{\subseteq} G$ and $G \tilde{\subseteq} F$.

v. Soft union of F and G, denoted by $F \tilde{\cup} G$, is a soft set over U and defined by $F \tilde{\cup} G : E \to P(U)$ such that $(F \tilde{\cup} G)(e) = F(e) \cup G(e)$ for all $e \in E$.

vi. Soft intersection of F and G, denoted by $F \tilde{\cap} G$, is a soft set over U and defined by $F \tilde{\cap} G : E \to P(U)$ such that $(F \tilde{\cap} G)(e) = F(e) \cap G(e)$ for all $e \in E$.

vii. Soft complement of F is denoted by $F^{\tilde{c}}$ and defined by $F^{\tilde{c}} : E \to P(U)$ such that $F^{\tilde{c}}(e) = U \setminus F(e)$ for all $e \in E$.

3 Bipolar soft sets

In this section, we will redefine bipolar soft set and introduce some basic properties.

Definition 3.1 *Let E be a parameter set and E_1 and E_2 be two non empty subsets of E such that $E_1 \cup E_2 = E$ and $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. If $F : E_1 \to P(U)$ and $G : E_2 \to P(U)$ are two mappings such that $F(e) \cap G(f(e)) = \emptyset$, then triple (F, G, E) is called bipolar soft set, where $f : E_1 \to E_2$ is a bijective function. Set of all bipolar soft sets over U is denoted by $\mathbb{BS}$. We can represent a bipolar soft set (F, G, E) as following form:*

$$(F, G, E) = \Big\{\big\langle (e, F(e)), (f(e), G(f(e)))\big\rangle : e \in E_1 \ \text{ and } \ F(e) \cap G(f(e)) = \emptyset\Big\}.$$

If $F(e) = \emptyset$ and $G(f(e)) = \emptyset$ for $e \in E_1$, then $\big\langle (e, \emptyset), (f(e), \emptyset) \big\rangle$ is no written in the bipolar soft set (F, G, E).

Remark 3.2 *According to above form of the bipolar soft set (F, G, E), we can construct a tabular form every bipolar soft set for a finite universal set U and finite parameter set E. Therefore, let $U = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. Then,*

(F, G, E)	$(F(e_1), G(f(e_1)))$	$(F(e_2), G(f(e_2)))$	$\ldots$	$(F(e_n), G(f(e_n)))$
u_1	(a_{11}, b_{11})	(a_{12}, b_{12})	$\ldots$	(a_{1n}, b_{1n})
u_2	(a_{21}, b_{21})	(a_{22}, b_{22})	$\ldots$	(a_{2n}, b_{2n})
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$
u_m	(a_{m1}, b_{m1})	(a_{m2}, b_{m2})	$\ldots$	(a_{mn}, b_{mn})

where,

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & u_i \in F(e_j) \\ 0, & u_i \notin F(e_j) \end{cases} \text{ and } b_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & u_i \in G(f(e_j)) \\ 0, & u_i \notin G(f(e_j)). \end{cases}$$

Note that, a_{ij} and b_{ij} must not be 1 in same time. So, there are three cases for (a_{ij}, b_{ij}): $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ or $(0, 0)$.

Example 3.3 *Let $U = \{u_l, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}$ be the universe which are eight houses and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ be the set of parameters. Here, e_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8)$ stand for the parameters "*large*", "*small*", "*modern*", "*standard*", "*cheap*", "*expensive*" "*with parking*", and "*no parking area*" respectively. Therefore, we can chose E_1 and E_2 sets as $E_1 = \{e_1, e_3, e_5, e_7\}$ and $E_2 = \{e_2, e_4, e_6, e_8\}$. Now, we define the bijective function f as $f(e_i) = \neg e_i$ $(i = 1, 3, 5, 7)$. Here, the notion $\neg e_i$ means that "*not e_i*" for all $i = 1, 3, 5, 7$. Thus, we have following results.*

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_1) &= \neg e_1 = e_2 \\ f(e_3) &= \neg e_3 = e_4 \\ f(e_5) &= \neg e_5 = e_6 \\ f(e_7) &= \neg e_7 = e_8 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can describe following t bipolar soft sets (F_1, G_1, E) and (F_2, G_2, E) to buy a house.

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, G_1, E) \;=\; & \Big\{ \big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_2, u_6\}) \big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5, u_7\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_8\}) \big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_8\}) \big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_7, \{u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}), (e_8, \{u_2, u_3\}) \big\rangle \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(F_2, G_2, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle(e_1, \{u_1, u_2, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7\})\big\rangle, \\
& \big\langle(e_3, \{u_2, u_5\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\
& \big\langle(e_5, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\
& \big\langle(e_7, \{u_5\}), (e_8, \{u_2, u_3, u_4\})\big\rangle\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tabular representations of bipolar soft sets (F_1, G_1, E) and (F_2, G_2, E) are in table ....

(F_1, G_1, E)	$(F_1(e_1), G_1(f(e_1)))$	$(F_1(e_3), G_1(f(e_3)))$	$(F_1(e_5), G_1(f(e_5)))$	$(F_1(e_7), G(f_1(e_7)))$
u_1	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$
u_2	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$
u_3	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$
u_4	$(1,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,0)$
u_5	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$
u_6	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$
u_7	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$
u_8	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$

and

(F_2, G_2, E)	$(F_2(e_1), G_2(f(e_1)))$	$(F_2(e_3), G_2(f(e_3)))$	$(F_2(e_5), G_2(f(e_5)))$	$(F_2(e_7), G(f_2(e_7)))$
u_1	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,0)$
u_2	$(1,0)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$
u_3	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$
u_4	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$
u_5	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$
u_6	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$
u_7	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$
u_8	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$

Definition 3.4 *Let $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. Then, (F_1, G_1, E) is bipolar soft subset of (F_2, G_2, E), denoted by $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqsubseteq (F_2, G_2, E)$, if $F_1(e) \subseteq F_2(e)$ and $G_2(f(e)) \subseteq G_1(f(e))$ for all $e \in E_1$.*

Definition 3.5 *Let $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. Then, (F_1, G_1, E) and (F_2, G_2, E) equal, denoted by $(F_1, G_1, E) = (F_2, G_2, E)$, if $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqsubseteq (F_2, G_2, E)$ and $(F_2, G_2, E) \sqsubseteq (F_1, G_1, E)$.*

Definition 3.6 *Let $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. Then, bipolar soft union of (F_1, G_1, E) and (F_2, G_2, E) is, denoted by $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E)$, defined by $(F_1 \sqcup F_2)(e) = F_1(e) \cup F_2(e)$ and $(G_1 \sqcup G_2)(f(e)) = G_1(f(e)) \cap G_2(f(e))$ for all $e \in E_1$.*

Definition 3.7 *Let $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. Then, bipolar soft intersection of (F_1, G_1, E) and (F_2, G_2, E) is, denoted by $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcap (F_2, G_2, E)$, defined by $(F_1 \sqcap F_2)(e) = F_1(e) \cap F_2(e)$ and $(G_1 \sqcap G_2)(f(e)) = G_1(f(e)) \cup G_2(f(e))$ for all $e \in E_1$.*

Definition 3.8 *Let $(F, G, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. For all $e \in E_1$, $F(e) = \emptyset$ and $G(f(e)) = U$, then (F, G, E) is called null bipolar soft set and denoted by $(\Phi, \tilde{U}, E)$.*

Definition 3.9 *Let $(F, G, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. For all $e \in E_1$, $F(e) = U$ and $G(f(e)) = \emptyset$, then (F, G, E) is called absolute bipolar soft set and denoted by $(\tilde{U}, \Phi, E)$.*

Definition 3.10 *Let $(F, G, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. Then, complement of (F, G, E), denoted by $(F, G, E)^{\tilde{c}}$, is a bipolar soft set over U such that $(F, G, E)^{\tilde{c}} = (H, K, E)$, where $H(e) = G(f(e))$ and $K(f(e)) = F(e)$ for all $e \in E_1$.*

Example 3.11 *Let $U = \{u_l, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}$ be an initial universe and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}$ be a set of parameters. If we chose E_1 and E_2 sets as $E_1 = \{e_1, e_3, e_5, e_7\}$ and $E_2 = \{e_2, e_4, e_6, e_8\}$. Now, we define the bijective function f as $f(e_i) = \neg e_i$ $(i = 1, 3, 5, 7)$. Here, the notion $\neg e_i$ means that "*not* e_i" for all $i = 1, 3, 5, 7$. Thus, we have following results.*

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_1) &= \neg e_1 = e_2 \\ f(e_3) &= \neg e_3 = e_4 \\ f(e_5) &= \neg e_5 = e_6 \\ f(e_7) &= \neg e_7 = e_8 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can describe following the bipolar soft sets (F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) and (F_3, G_3, E).

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, G_1, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_2, u_6\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5, u_7\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_7, \{u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}), (e_8, \{u_2, u_3\})\big\rangle\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_2, G_2, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_2, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_7, \{u_5\}), (e_8, \{u_2, u_3, u_4\})\big\rangle\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_3, G_3, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_2, u_3, u_5, u_6\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_3\}), (e_6, \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_7, \{u_5, u_8\}), (e_8, \{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_7\})\big\rangle\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for all $e \in E_1$,
since $F_3(e) \subseteq F_1(e)$ and $G_3(f(e)) \supseteq G_1(f(e))$, $(F_3, G_3, E) \sqsubseteq (F_1, G_1, E)$

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E) &= \{(e_1, \{u_1, u_4\}, \{u_2, u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7\}),\\ &\quad (e_3, \{u_2, u_5\}, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\}), (e_5, \{u_3, u_4\},\\ &\quad \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\}), (e_7, \{u_5\}, \{u_2, u_3, u_4\})\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcap (F_2, G_2, E) &= \{(e_1, \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}, \{u_6\}),\\ &\quad (e_3, \{u_2, u_5, u_7\}, \{u_1, u_3, u_8\}), (e_5, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\},\\ &\quad \{u_2, u_5, u_8\}), (e_7, \{u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}, \{u_2, u_3\})\}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.12 *Let* $(F, G, E), (F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $(F, G, E) \sqsubseteq (\tilde{U}, \Phi, E)$

ii. $(\Phi, \tilde{U}, E) \sqsubseteq (F, G, E)$

iii. $(F, G, E) \sqsubseteq (F, G, E)$

iv. *If* $(F, G, E) \sqsubseteq (F_1, G_1, E)$ *and* $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqsubseteq (F_2, G_2, E)$, *then* $(F, G, E) \sqsubseteq (F_2, G_2, E)$

Proof. It is clear from Definition 3.4.

Theorem 3.13 *Let* $(F, G, E), (F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $(F, G, E) \sqcup (F, G, E) = (F, G, E)$

ii. $(F, G, E) \sqcup (\Phi, \tilde{U}, E) = (F, G, E)$

iii. $(F, G, E) \sqcup (\tilde{U}, \Phi, E) = (\tilde{U}, \Phi, E)$

iv. $(F, G, E) \sqcup (F, G, E)^{\tilde{c}} = (\tilde{U}, \Phi, E)$

v. $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E) = (F_2, G_2, E) \sqcup (F_1, G_1, E)$

vi. $(F, G, E) \sqcup \big[(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E)\big] = \big[(F, G, E) \sqcup (F_1, G_1, E)\big] \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E)$

Proof. It can be proved by Definition 3.6.

Theorem 3.14 *Let* $(F,G,E),(F_1,G_1,E),(F_2,G_2,E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $(F,G,E) \sqcap (F,G,E) = (F,G,E)$

ii. $(F,G,E) \sqcap (\Phi,\tilde{U},E) = (\Phi,\tilde{U},E)$

iii. $(F,G,E) \sqcap (\tilde{U},\Phi,E) = (F,G,E)$

iv. $(F,G,E) \sqcap (F,G,E)^{\tilde{c}} = (\Phi,\tilde{U},E)$

v. $(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E) = (F_2,G_2,E) \sqcap (F_1,G_1,E)$

vi. $(F,G,E) \sqcap \big[(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)\big] = \big[(F,G,E) \sqcap (F_1,G_1,E)\big] \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)$

Proof. It can be proved by Definition 3.7.

Theorem 3.15 *Let* $(F,G,E),(F_1,G_1,E),(F_2,G_2,E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $(F,G,E) \sqcap \big[(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcup (F_2,G_2,E)\big] = \big[(F,G,E) \sqcap (F_1,G_1,E)\big] \sqcup \big[(F,G,E) \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)\big]$

ii. $(F,G,E) \sqcup \big[(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)\big] = \big[(F,G,E) \sqcup (F_1,G_1,E)\big] \sqcap \big[(F,G,E) \sqcup (F_2,G_2,E)\big]$

Proof. It can be proved simply.

Theorem 3.16 *Let* $(F,G,E),(F_1,G_1,E),(F_2,G_2,E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $\big((F,G,E)^{\tilde{c}}\big)^{\tilde{c}} = (F,G,E)$

ii. $(\Phi,\tilde{U},E)^{\tilde{c}} = (\tilde{U},\Phi,E)$

iii. $(\tilde{U},\Phi,E)^{\tilde{c}} = (\Phi,\tilde{U},E)$

Proof. It is obvious from Definition 3.10.

Theorem 3.17 *Let* $(F_1,G_1,E),(F_2,G_2,E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then, De Morgan's law is valid.*

i. $\big[(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcup (F_2,G_2,E)\big]^{\tilde{c}} = (F_1,G_1,E)^{\tilde{c}} \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)^{\tilde{c}}$

ii. $\big[(F_1,G_1,E) \sqcap (F_2,G_2,E)\big]^{\tilde{c}} = (F_1,G_1,E)^{\tilde{c}} \sqcup (F_2,G_2,E)^{\tilde{c}}$

Proof.

i. Let $(F_1, G_1, E) \sqcup (F_2, G_2, E) = (H, K, E)$ and $(H, K, E)^{\tilde{c}} = (S, T, E)$. Then, $H(e) = F_1(e) \cup F_2(e)$ and $K(f(e)) = G_1(f(e)) \cap G_2(f(e))$ for all $e \in E_1$. Thus, we have

$$S(e) = K(f(e)) = G_1(f(e)) \cap G_2(f(e)) \tag{1}$$

and

$$T(f(e)) = H(e) = F_1(e) \cup F_2(e) \tag{2}$$

for all $e \in E_1$. Moreover, $(F_1, G_1, E)^{\tilde{c}} = (H_1, K_1, E)$ and $(F_2, G_2, E)^{\tilde{c}} = (H_2, K_2, E)$. Then, $H_1(e) = G_1(f(e))$, $H_2(e) = G_2(f(e))$, $K_1(f(e)) = F_1(e)$ and $K_2(f(e)) = F_2(e)$. Therefore,

$$(H_1 \sqcap H_2)(e) = G_1(f(e)) \cap G_2(f(e)) \tag{3}$$

and

$$(K_1 \sqcap K_2)(e) = F_1(e) \cup F_2(e) \tag{4}$$

for all $e \in E_1$. On the other hand, it can be seen clearly that right hand of (1) is equal to right hand of (3) and right hand of (2) is equal to right hand of (4). So, the proof is completed.

ii. It can be proved similar way (*i.*).

Definition 3.18 *Let* $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,* and-*product of bipolar soft sets* (F_1, G_1, E) *and* (F_2, G_2, E) *is, denoted by* $(F_1, G_1, E) \wedge (F_2, G_2, E)$, *defined by* $(F_1 \wedge F_2)(e, e') = F_1(e) \cap F_2(e')$ *and* $(G_1 \wedge G_2)(f(e)) = G_1(f(e)) \cup G_2(f(e), f(e'))$ *for all* $e, e' \in E_1$.

Definition 3.19 *Let* $(F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) \in \mathbb{BS}$. *Then,* or-*product of bipolar soft sets* (F_1, G_1, E) *and* (F_2, G_2, E), *denoted by* $(F_1, G_1, E) \vee (F_2, G_2, E)$, *is defined by* $(F_1 \vee F_2)(e, e') = F_1(e) \cup F_2(e')$ *and* $(G_1 \vee G_2)(f(e), f(e')) = G_1(f(e)) \cap G_2(f(e'))$ *for all* $e, e' \in E_1$.

Example 3.20 *Let* $U = \{u_l, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\}$ *be the universe which are eight houses and* $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6\}$ *be the set of parameters. Here,* e_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ *stand for the parameters* "large", "small", "cheap", "modern", "standard", "expensive", *respectively. Therefore, we can chose* E_1 *and* E_2 *sets as* $E_1 = \{e_1, e_3, e_5\}$ *and* $E_2 = \{e_2, e_4, e_6\}$. *Now, we define the bijective*

function f as $f(e_i) = \neg e_i$ $(i = 1, 3, 5)$. Here, the notion $\neg e_i$ means that "not e_i" *for all $i = 1, 3, 5$. Thus, we have following results.*

$$\begin{aligned} f(e_1) &= \neg e_1 = e_2 \\ f(e_3) &= \neg e_3 = e_4 \\ f(e_5) &= \neg e_5 = e_6 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can describe following the bipolar soft sets (F_1, G_1, E), (F_2, G_2, E) and (F_3, G_3, E).

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, G_1, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_2, u_6\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5, u_7\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_8\})\big\rangle\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (F_2, G_2, E) \;=\; & \Big\{\big\langle (e_1, \{u_1, u_2, u_4\}), (e_2, \{u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_3, \{u_2, u_5\}), (e_4, \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle (e_5, \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), (e_6, \{u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, G_1, E) \wedge (F_2, G_2, E) \;=\; & \big\{\big\langle ((e_1, e_1), \{u_1, u_4\}), ((e_2, e_2), \{u_2, u_3, u_5, u_6, u_7\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_1, e_3), \emptyset), ((e_2, e_4), \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_6, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_1, e_5), \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), ((e_2, e_6), \{u_2, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_3, e_1), \emptyset), ((e_4, e_2), \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_6, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_3, e_3), \{u_2, u_5\}), ((e_4, e_4), \{u_1, u_3, u_4, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_3, e_5), \emptyset), ((e_4, e_6), \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_5, e_1), \{u_1, u_3, u_4\}), ((e_6, e_2), \{u_2, u_5, u_6, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_5, e_3), \emptyset), ((e_6, e_4), \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle, \\ & \big\langle ((e_5, e_5), \{u_3, u_4\}), ((e_6, e_6), \{u_1, u_2, u_5, u_7, u_8\})\big\rangle\big\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1,G_1,E)\vee(F_2,G_2,E) \;=\; &\big\{\big\langle((e_1,e_1),\{u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4\}),((e_2,e_2),\{u_6\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_1,e_3),\{u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4\}),((e_2,e_4),\emptyset\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_1,e_5),\{u_1,u_3,u_4\}),((e_2,e_6),\{u_2\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_3,e_1),\{u_1,u_2,u_4,u_5,u_7\}),((e_4,e_2),\{u_3\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_3,e_3),\{u_2,u_5,u_7\}),((e_4,e_4),\{u_1,u_3,u_8\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_3,e_5),\{u_1,u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5,u_7\}),((e_4,e_6),\{u_8\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_5,e_1),\{u_1,u_3,u_4\}),((e_6,e_2),\{u_5\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_5,e_3),\{u_2,u_3,u_4,u_5\}),((e_6,e_4),\{u_1,u_8\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle((e_5,e_5),\{u_1,u_3,u_4\}),((e_6,e_6),\{u_2,u_5,u_8\})\big\rangle\big\}\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.21 *Let* $(F_1,G_1,E),(F_2,G_2,E)\in\mathbb{BS}$. *Then,*

i. $\big[(F_1,G_1,E)\vee(F_2,G_2,E)\big]^{\tilde{c}}=(F_1,G_1,E)^{\tilde{c}}\wedge(F_2,G_2,E)^{\tilde{c}}$

ii. $\big[(F_1,G_1,E)\wedge(F_2,G_2,E)\big]^{\tilde{c}}=(F_1,G_1,E)^{\tilde{c}}\vee(F_2,G_2,E)^{\tilde{c}}$

Proof. The proof is clear.

4 An applications of bipolar soft sets in decision making

In this section we will construct a decision making method over the bipolar soft set. Firstly, we will define some notions that necessary to construct algorithm of decision making method.

Definition 4.1 *Let* $E=\{e_1,e_2,...,e_n\}$ *be a parameter set,* $U=\{u_1,u_2,...,u_m\}$ *be initial universe and* (F,G,E) *be a BSS over* U*.Then, score of an object, denoted by* s_i*, is computed as* $s_i=c_i^+-c_i^-$*. Here,* c_i^+ *and* c_i^- *are computed form* $c_i^+=\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$ *and* $c_i^-=\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}$

Now we present an algorithm for most appropriate selection of an object.

Algorithm

Step 1: Input the bipolar soft Set (F,G,E)
Step 2: Consider the bipolar soft set (F,G,E) and write it in tabular form
Step 3: Compute the score s_i of h_i $\forall i$

Step 4: Find $s_k = max s_i$
Step 5: If k has more than one value then any one of h_i could be the preferable choice.

Let us use the algorithm to solve the problem.

Example 4.2 *We consider the problem to select the most suitable house which Mr. X is going to choose on the basis of his* 10 *number of parameters out of m number of houses. Let* $E_1 = \{e_1 = beautiful, e_2 = cheap, e_3 = in\,good\,repairing,$
$e_4 = moderate, e_5 = wooden\}$ *and* $E_2 = \{e_6 = not\,beautiful, e_7 = not\,cheap,$
$e_8 = not\,in\,good\,repairing, e_9 = not\,moderate, e_{10} = not\,wooden\}$ *and* U *be a initial universe. Here, we consider* $E = E_1 \cup E_2$*. For the sake of shortness, we will denote the* $(F(e_i), G(f(e_i)))$ *with* $FG(e_i)$

Step 1: *Let us consider BSS* (F, G, E) *over* U *defined as follow,*

$$\begin{aligned}(F,G,E) \;=\; &\Big\{\big\langle(e_1,\{u_1,u_2\}),(e_5,\{u_3,u_5,u_6,u_7\})\big\rangle,\big\langle(e_2,\{u_2\}),(e_6,\{u_1,u_3,u_4,u_8\})\big\rangle,\\ &\big\langle(e_3,\{u_1,u_3,u_4\}),(e_7,\{u_2,u_5,u_7,u_8\})\big\rangle,\big\langle(e_4,\{u_5\}),(e_8,\{u_2,u_3,u_4\})\big\rangle\\ &\big\langle(e_5,\{u_1,u_3,u_8\}),(e_{10},\{u_5,u_6\})\big\rangle\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

Step 2: *Tabular representation of the BSS* (F, G, E) *is as below:*

(F,G,E)	$FG(e_1)$	$FG(e_2)$	$FG(e_3)$	$FG(e_4)$	$FG(e_5)$
u_1	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$
u_2	$(1,0)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$
u_3	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$
u_4	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$
u_5	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(1,0)$	$(0,1)$
u_6	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$
u_7	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(0,0)$
u_8	$(0,0)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,1)$	$(0,0)$	$(1,0)$

Tabular form of the BSS (F, G, E)

Step 3: *Score of each elements of* U *is obtained as follow*

	c_i^+	c_i^-	s_i
u_1	*3*	*1*	*2*
u_2	*2*	*2*	*0*
u_3	*2*	*3*	*-1*
u_4	*2*	*2*	*0*
u_5	*1*	*3*	*-2*
u_6	*0*	*2*	*-2*
u_7	*0*	*2*	*-2*
u_8	*1*	*2*	*-1*

Step 4: Since $maks_i = 2$, optimal element of U is u_1.

References

[1] M.I. Ali, F. Feng, X. Liu, W.K. Min and M. Shabir, *On some new operations in soft set theory*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 57 (2009) 1547-1553.

[2] H. Aktaş and N. Çagman, *Soft sets and soft groups*, Information Sciences 1 (77) (2007) 27262735.

[3] M. Aslam, S. Abdullah and K. Ullah, *Bipolar fuzzy soft sets and its applications in decision making problem*, arXiv:1303.6932v1 [cs.AI] 23 Mar 2013.

[4] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 8796.

[5] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, *Soft set theory and uni-int decision making*, European Journal of Operational Research, 207 (2010) 848-855.

[6] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, *Soft matrices and its decision makings*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 59 (2010) 3308-3314.

[7] N. Çağman, F. Erdoğan and S. Enginoğlu, *FP-soft set theory and its applications*, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and informatics, 2/2 (2011) 219-226.

[8] N. Çağman, S. Enginoğlu and F. Erdoğan, *Fuzzy soft set theory and its applications*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 8(3) (2011), 137–147.

[9] N. Çağman and S. Karataş, *Intuitionistic fuzzy soft set theory and its decision making*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 24/4 (2013) 829–836.

[10] N. Çağman, *Contributions to the theory of soft sets*, Journal of New Results in Science (4)(2014), 33-41.

[11] N. Çağman and İ. Deli, *Means of FP-Soft Sets and its Applications*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 41 (5) (2012), 615–625.

[12] N. Çağman and İ. Deli, *Product of FP-soft sets and its applications*, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 41 (3) (2012), 365 - 374.

[13] F. Feng, Y. B. Jun, X. Liu and L. Li, *An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft sets based decision making*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 234 (2010) 10-20.

[14] F. Feng, X. Liu , V. L. Fotea and Y. B. Jun, *Soft sets and soft rough* sets, Information Sciences 181 (2011) 1125–1137.

[15] F. Feng, C. Li, B. Davvaz, M. Irfan Ali, *Soft sets combined with fuzzy sets and rough sets: a tentative approach*, Soft Computing 14 (2010) 899–911.

[16] Y. Jiang, Y. Tang, Q. Chen, H. Liu and J.Tang, *Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets and their properties*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications,60 (2010) 906–918.

[17] Y. Jiang, Y. Tang, Q. Chen, *An adjustable approach to intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets based decision making*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 35 (2011) 824836.

[18] F.Karaaslan, N. Çağman, *Intuitionistic fuzzy parametereized fuzzy soft sets with application in decision making* Submitted (2014)

[19] A. Kharal, *Distance and Similarity Measures for Soft Sets*, New Math. and Nat. Computation, 06, 321 (2010). DOI: 10.1142/S1793005710001724

[20] Z. Kong, G. Zhang, L. Wang, Z. Wu, S. Qi and H. Wang, *An efficient decision making approach in incomplete soft set*, Applied Mathematical Modelling 38 (2014) 21412150.

[21] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, *Fuzzy soft sets*, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 9(3) (2001) 589-602.

[22] P.K. Maji, R. Bismas and A.R. Roy, *Soft set theory*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 45 (2003) 555-562.

[23] P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, *Similarity Measure of soft sets*, New Mathematics and Natural Computation,4(1) (2008) 1-12.

[24] W. K. Min, *Similarity in soft set theory*, Applied Mathematics Letters 25 (2012) 310314

[25] D.A. Molodtsov, *Soft set theory-first results*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 37 (1999) 19-31.

[26] M. Naz and M. Shabir, *On fuzzy bipolar soft sets, their algebraic structures and applications*, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, Volume 26 (4)(2014).

[27] Z. Pawlak, *Rough sets*, International Journal of Information and Computer Sciences, 11 (1982) 341-356.

[28] K. Qin, Z. Hong, *On soft equality*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 234 (2010)1347-1355.

[29] T. Deng and X. Wang, *An object-parameter approach to predicting unknown data in incomplete fuzzy soft sets*, Applied Mathematical Modelling, 37(6), (2013), 4139–4146

[30] M. Shabir and M. Naz, *On bipolar soft sets*, arXiv:1303.1344v1 [math.LO] 6 Mar 2013.

[31] T. Som, *On the theory of soft sets, soft relation and fuzzy soft relation*, Proc. of the national conference on Uncertainty: A Mathematical Approach, UAMA-06, Burdwan, 2006, 1-9.

[32] D. K. Sut, *An application of fuzzy soft relation in decision making problems*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology 3/2 (2012) 51–54.

[33] Z. Xiao, K. Gong and Y. Zou, *A combined forecasting approach based on fuzzy soft sets*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 228 (2009) 326-333.

[34] X. Yang, T.Y. Lin, J. Yang, Y. Li and D. Yu, *Combination of interval-valued fuzzy set and soft set*, Comput. Math. Appl. 58 (2009) 521-527.

[35] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338353.

[36] Y. Zou and Z. Xiao, *Data analysis approaches of soft sets under incomplete information*, Knowl. Base. Syst. 21 (2008) 941-945.